



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,518	07/02/2003	John E. Lertzman	50578/C995	3534

23363 7590 07/19/2010
CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP
PO BOX 7068
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 07/19/2010

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10612518	7/2/2003	LERTZMAN ET AL.	50578/C995

CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP
PO BOX 7068
PASADENA, CA 91109-7068

EXAMINER

MICHAEL GOLDMAN

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

3688 20100715

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

The Examiner's Answer mailed on June 21, 2010 is hereby amended to include the following paragraph that was inadvertently omitted from paragraph 9, Grounds of Rejection:

(c) Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Burke in view of Phillips et al. (7,398,248).

Claim 9: Burke discloses the invention as in Claim 1 above, however he fails to disclose the feature wherein the providing the participant identification code to the merchant comprises of scanning a fingerprint or retina of the participant. However, Phillips et al. discloses the method of providing the participant identification code to the merchant comprised of scanning a fingerprint or retina of the participant (see column 3, lines 20-24).

Both Burke and Phillips et al. disclose a method of confirming the identity of customers by biometric means in a retail environment with reward and rebate cards.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to modify the Burke marketing method to include either a retinal scan or a fingerprint scan as taught by Phillips et al. in order to provide the security required to ensure improved automation and facilitation of a collaborative affinity marketing process.

/mg/

/James W Myhre/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3688